

Conformal Field Theory

Problem Set 1

Ziyan Li

TA: Mr. Diego Berdeja Suarez.

Th 11:00-12:30 C4 Wk 2.

1

$$- \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_2)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!} (\phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4) + \frac{z}{4!} \phi_1^2 \phi_2^2$$

$$+ 2 + 2[\phi_i] = \bar{\psi} d = [S] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \cancel{[\phi_i] = \frac{d-2}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\phi_i] = \frac{d-2}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\partial^m \phi_i^n] = m + n \frac{d-2}{2}$$

$$\therefore \text{coefficient } [\alpha_{m,n} \partial^m \phi_i^n] = [1] = d$$

$$\Rightarrow [\alpha_{m,n}] = d - n \frac{d-2}{2} - m$$

$\therefore x' = x b$ (the rescaling)

$$\therefore \int d^d x \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_i)^2 \rightarrow \int d^d x' b^{-d+2} (\partial'_\mu \phi_i)^2$$

$$\therefore \int d^d x \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_i)^2 + \alpha_{m,n} \partial^m \phi_i^n \rightarrow \int d^d x' b^{-d+2} (\partial'_\mu \phi_i)^2 + b^{-d+m} \alpha_{m,n} \partial^m \phi_i^n$$

redefine $\phi_i' = b^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \phi_i$

$$\text{so } \int d^d x \frac{1}{2} (\partial'_\mu \phi_i')^2 + b^{-d+m+n\frac{d-2}{2}} \alpha_{m,n} \partial^m \phi_i^n$$

\equiv scaling dimension $\equiv -\Delta$

$$\therefore \Delta = d - n \frac{d-2}{2} - m = [\alpha_{m,n}]$$

For each operator:

$$\Rightarrow \phi_i^4 : m=0, n=4, \Delta = d - 4 \frac{d-2}{2} = 4-d$$

$$\text{in } \underline{d=3} \quad \underline{\Delta=1 > 0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underline{\text{relevant operator}}$$

$$\text{in } \underline{d=4} \quad \underline{\Delta=0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underline{\text{marginal operator}}$$

Similarly ϕ_2^4 : $m=0$ $n=4$ $\Delta = 4-d$

in $d=3$ $\Delta=1$ \Rightarrow relevant

in $d=4$ $\Delta=0$ \Rightarrow marginal

and $\phi_1^2 \phi_2^2$: $m=0$, $n=4$ $\Delta = 4-d$

in $d=3$ $\Delta=1$ \Rightarrow relevant.

in $d=4$ $\Delta=0$ \Rightarrow marginal.

\rightarrow Dirac ~~like~~ Lagrangian : $\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi$

the only operator is $\bar{\psi}\psi$.

$$S = \int d^d x \ i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi = \int d^d x' b^{-d+1} (i\bar{\psi}'\not{\partial}'\psi' - m\bar{\psi}'\psi') b^{-d}$$

$x' = xb$
 $\not{\partial}' \equiv \gamma^\mu \partial'_\mu$

\diamond rescale $\bar{\psi}' = b^{\frac{1-d}{2}} \bar{\psi}$, $\psi' = b^{\frac{1-d}{2}} \psi$

$$\Rightarrow S = \int d^d x' \ i\bar{\psi}'\not{\partial}'\psi' - m \underbrace{b^{-d} b^{\frac{d-1}{2}} b^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}_{\equiv b^{-d}} \bar{\psi}'\psi'$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta = d - \frac{d-1}{2} - \frac{d-1}{2} = \underline{\underline{1}}$$

$\bar{\psi}\psi$ is relevant operator

\rightarrow Coleman-Weinberg model

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu\phi)^2 + m^2\phi^2 + \lambda\phi^4$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu) + \partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + 2eA_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + e^2 A_\mu A^\mu \phi^2 + m^2\phi^2 + \lambda\phi^4$$

\therefore propagator for A_μ is ~~$\partial A \partial A$~~ and that for ϕ is in the form $\partial\phi\partial\phi$ \therefore in terms of dimensions we can treat them as same fields, and we use the formula derived in the two-scalar Lagrangian case.

$$\Delta = d - n \frac{d-2}{2} - m \quad (n = \sum \text{ powers on } A \text{ and } \phi)$$

$$= (d+n) - \frac{n}{2}d - m = \cancel{(d+n-m)} = (1 - \frac{n}{2})d + n - m$$

operators: ($d=4$)

$$\underline{A_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi} \Rightarrow m=1 \quad n=3 \quad \Delta = \cancel{d - \frac{3}{2}d - 1 - 1}$$

$$= (1 - \frac{3}{2})d + 3 - 1$$

$$= 2 - \frac{d}{2} = \underline{\underline{0}}$$

\Rightarrow marginal

$$A_\mu A^\mu \phi^2 \Rightarrow m=0 \quad n=4$$

$$\Delta = (1 - \frac{4}{2})d + 4 - 0 = 4 - d$$

$$= \underline{\underline{0}}$$

\Rightarrow marginal

$$\phi^2 \Rightarrow m=0 \quad n=2 \quad \Delta = (1 - \frac{2}{2})d + 2 - 0$$

$$= \underline{\underline{2}}$$

\Rightarrow relevant

$$\phi^4 \Rightarrow m=0 \quad n=4 \quad \Delta = \underline{\underline{0}}$$

\Rightarrow marginal

2

$$\beta(g) = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{3} (11N_c - 2N_f) g^3 - \frac{1}{(16\pi^2)^2} \left(\frac{34}{3} N_c^2 - \frac{13}{3} N_f N_c \right) g^5 + \dots$$

under perturbation theory.

→ $g=0$ is always a fixed point for all possible N_c, N_f .

if $g \neq 0$, fixed point $\beta(g) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} (11N_c - 2N_f) g^3 - \frac{1}{(16\pi^2)^2} \left(\frac{34}{3} N_c^2 - \frac{13}{3} N_f N_c \right) g^5$$

$$\therefore g^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{3} (11N_c - 2N_f)}{-\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{34}{3} N_c^2 - \frac{13}{3} N_f N_c \right)}$$

~~$$= \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \frac{16\pi^2 (11N_c - 2N_f)}{3}$$~~

$$= -16\pi^2 \frac{11N_c - 2N_f}{34N_c^2 - 13N_f N_c}$$

$$= 16\pi^2 \frac{11N_c - 2N_f}{13N_f - 34N_c} \cdot \frac{1}{N_c}$$

$\therefore N_c > 0, N_f > 0 \Rightarrow g^2 > 0$

~~$$\rightarrow \frac{11N_c - 2N_f}{N_c}$$~~

$$\therefore \text{Need } \begin{cases} 11N_c - 2N_f > 0 \\ 13N_f - 34N_c > 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \text{~~2 < N_c
$$\underline{\underline{\frac{2}{11} N_f < N_c < \frac{13}{34} N_f}}$$~~$$

$$\text{or } \begin{cases} 11N_c - 2N_f < 0 \\ 13N_f - 34N_c < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{13}{34} N_f < N_c < \frac{2}{11} N_f$$

impossible.

\Rightarrow for $\underline{\underline{\frac{2}{11} < \frac{N_c}{N_f} < \frac{13}{34}}}$, we have
two more fixed points

$$g = 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{11N_c - 2N_f}{13N_f N_c - 34N_c^2}}$$

3

Translation : $x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu + a^\mu$

inversion : $x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = \frac{x^\mu}{x^2}$

inversion \rightarrow translation \rightarrow inversion is then

$$x^\mu \rightarrow \frac{x^\mu}{x^2} \rightarrow \frac{x^\mu}{x^2} + a^\mu \Rightarrow \frac{x^\mu + a^\mu x^2}{x^2}$$

$$\approx \frac{\frac{x^\mu}{x^2} + a^\mu}{\left(\frac{x^\mu}{x^2} + a^\mu\right) \left(\frac{x^\mu}{x^2} + a^\mu\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{x^\mu}{x^2} + a^\mu}{\frac{x^2}{x^2} + 2a_\nu x^\nu \frac{1}{x^2} + a^\mu a_\mu}$$

$$= \frac{x^\mu + a^\mu x^2}{1 + 2a_\nu x^\nu + a^\mu a_\mu x^2} = \frac{x^\mu + (-a^\mu) x^2}{1 - 2(-a) \cdot x + (-a)^2 x^2}$$

is a ~~spec~~ special conformal transformation
with $b^\mu = -a^\mu$

4

$$P_\mu = -i\partial_\mu \quad L_{\mu\nu} = i(x_\mu\partial_\nu - x_\nu\partial_\mu)$$

$$D = -ix^\mu\partial_\mu \quad K_\nu = -i(2x_\mu x^\mu\partial_\nu - x^2\partial_\nu)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow [D, P_\mu]f &= -[x^\nu\partial_\nu, \partial_\mu]f = \partial_\nu(x^\nu\partial_\nu f) - x^\nu\partial_\nu\partial_\mu f \\ &= \underbrace{\partial_\mu x^\nu}_{\delta_\mu^\nu}\partial_\nu f + \cancel{x^\nu\partial_\nu\partial_\mu f} - \cancel{x^\nu\partial_\nu\partial_\mu f} \\ &= \delta_\mu^\nu\partial_\nu f = i(-i\partial_\mu)f = \underline{\underline{iP_\mu f}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow [D, K_\mu]f = -[x^\rho\partial_\rho, 2x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\nu - x^2\partial_\mu]f$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \cancel{+} \cancel{2x_\mu} (2x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\nu - x^2\partial_\nu)(x^\rho\partial_\rho f) \\ &\quad - (x^\rho\partial_\rho)(2x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\nu - x^2\partial_\mu)f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\nu(x^\rho\partial_\rho f) - x^2\partial_\nu(x^\rho\partial_\rho f) \\ &\quad - x^\rho\partial_\rho(2x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\nu f) + x^\rho\partial_\rho(x^2\partial_\mu f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2x_\mu x^\nu\cancel{\partial_\nu x^\rho}(\partial_\rho f) + 2x_\mu x^\nu x^\rho\partial_\nu\partial_\rho f \\ &\quad - x^2 x^\rho\partial_\nu\partial_\rho f - x^2\partial_\mu x^\rho(\partial_\rho f) \end{aligned}$$

$$- 2x^\rho(\partial_\rho x_\mu)x^\nu\partial_\nu f - 2x^\rho x_\mu(\partial_\rho x^\nu)\partial_\nu f$$

$$- 2x^\rho x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\rho\partial_\nu f + \cancel{2x^\rho x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\rho\partial_\nu f}$$

$$+ 2x^\rho x^\nu(\partial_\rho x_\nu)\partial_\mu f + x^2 x^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\mu f$$

$$= -2x^\rho \eta_{\rho\mu} x^\nu\partial_\nu f - x^2 \eta_{\mu}^{\rho} \partial_\rho f + 2x^\rho x^\nu \eta_{\rho\nu} \partial_\mu f$$

$$= -2x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\nu f - x^2\partial_\mu f + 2x^2\partial_\mu f$$

$$= (-i)[-i(2x_\mu x^\nu\partial_\nu - x^2\partial_\nu)]f = \underline{\underline{-iK_\mu f}}$$

$$\rightarrow [L_{\mu\nu}, P_\rho] f = [x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu, \partial_\rho] f$$

$$= (x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu) \partial_\rho f - \partial_\rho (x_\mu \partial_\nu f - x_\nu \partial_\mu f)$$

$$= x_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\rho f - x_\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\rho f - x_\mu \partial_\rho \partial_\nu f - \eta_{\rho\nu} \partial_\mu f$$

$$+ x_\nu \partial_\rho \partial_\mu f + \eta_{\rho\mu} \partial_\nu f$$

$$= -i [\eta_{\rho\mu} (-i \partial_\nu) - \eta_{\rho\nu} (-i \partial_\mu)] f = -i (\eta_{\rho\mu} P_\nu - \eta_{\rho\nu} P_\mu) f$$

$$\rightarrow [L_{\mu\nu}, K_\rho] f = [x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu, 2x_\rho x^\sigma \partial_\sigma - x^2 \partial_\rho] f$$

$$= 2x_\rho \partial_\nu (x_\rho x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f) - x_\mu \partial_\nu (x^2 \partial_\rho f)$$

$$- 2x_\nu \partial_\mu (x_\rho x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f) + x_\nu \partial_\mu (x^2 \partial_\rho f)$$

$$- 2x_\rho x^\sigma \partial_\sigma (x_\mu \partial_\nu f) + x^2 \partial_\rho (x_\mu \partial_\nu f)$$

$$+ 2x_\rho x^\sigma \partial_\sigma (x_\nu \partial_\mu f) - x^2 \partial_\rho (x_\nu \partial_\mu f)$$

$$= 2x_\rho \eta_{\nu\rho} x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f + 2x_\rho x_\rho \eta_\nu^\sigma \partial_\sigma f + 2x_\nu x_\rho x^\sigma \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma f$$

$$- 2x_\mu x_\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\rho f - x_\mu x^2 \partial_\nu \partial_\rho f$$

$$- 2x_\nu \eta_{\mu\rho} x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f - 2x_\nu x_\rho \eta_\mu^\sigma \partial_\sigma f - 2x_\nu x_\rho x^\sigma \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma f$$

$$+ 2x_\nu x_\mu \partial_\rho f + x_\nu x^2 \partial_\mu \partial_\rho f$$

$$- 2x_\rho x^\sigma \partial_\mu \partial_\sigma f - 2x_\rho x^\sigma \eta_{\sigma\mu} \partial_\rho f$$

$$+ x^2 x_\mu \partial_\rho \partial_\nu f + x^2 \eta_{\rho\mu} \partial_\nu f$$

$$+ 2x_\rho x^\sigma \eta_{\sigma\nu} \partial_\rho f + 2x_\rho x^\sigma x_\nu \partial_\sigma \partial_\rho f$$

$$- x_\nu x^2 \partial_\rho \partial_\mu f - x^2 \eta_{\rho\nu} \partial_\mu f$$

$$= \cancel{2} \eta_{\nu\rho} (2x_\mu x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f - x^2 \partial_\mu f) - \eta_{\mu\rho} (2x_\nu x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f - x^2 \partial_\nu f)$$

$$= -i (\eta_{\mu\rho} K_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} K_\mu)$$

$$\rightarrow [D, L_{\mu\nu}]f = [x^\rho \partial_\rho, x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu]f$$

$$= x^\rho \partial_\rho (x_\mu \partial_\nu f) - x^\rho \partial_\rho (x_\nu \partial_\mu f) - x_\mu \partial_\nu (x^\rho \partial_\rho f) + x_\nu \partial_\mu (x^\rho \partial_\rho f)$$

$$= x^\rho x_\mu \partial_\rho \partial_\nu f + \cancel{x^\rho} x_\mu \partial_\nu f - x^\rho x_\nu \partial_\rho \partial_\mu f - \cancel{x^\rho} x_\nu \partial_\mu f - x_\mu x^\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\rho f - \cancel{x_\mu} \partial_\nu f + x_\nu x^\rho \partial_\mu \partial_\rho f + \cancel{x_\nu} \partial_\mu f = 0$$

$$\rightarrow [P_\mu, P_\nu]f = -[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu]f = 0$$

$$\rightarrow [K_\mu, K_\nu]f = -[2x_\mu x^\rho \partial_\rho - x^2 \partial_\mu, 2x_\nu x^\sigma \partial_\sigma - x^2 \partial_\nu]f$$

$$= -2x_\mu x^\rho \partial_\rho (x_\nu x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f) + 2x_\mu x^\rho \partial_\rho (x^2 \partial_\nu f) + 2x^2 \partial_\mu (x_\nu x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f) - x^2 \partial_\mu (x^2 \partial_\nu f) + 4x_\nu x^\sigma \partial_\sigma (x_\mu x^\rho \partial_\rho f) - 2x^2 \partial_\nu (x_\mu x^\rho \partial_\rho f) - 2x_\nu x^\sigma \partial_\sigma (x^2 \partial_\mu f) + x^2 \partial_\nu (x^2 \partial_\mu f)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -4 x_\mu x^\rho x_\nu x^\sigma \cancel{\partial_\rho \partial_\sigma} f - 4 x_\mu x_\nu x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f \\
&\quad - 4 x_\mu x_\nu x^\sigma \partial_\sigma f \\
&+ 4 x_\mu x^\rho \partial_\rho f + 2 x_\mu x^\rho x^\sigma \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma f \\
&+ 2 x^\rho x^\sigma \cancel{\partial_\rho} \partial_\sigma f + 2 x^\rho x^\sigma \partial_\rho f + 2 x^\rho x^\sigma \partial_\sigma \partial_\rho f \\
&\quad - 2 x^\rho x^\sigma \partial_\rho f - x^4 \partial_\rho \partial_\rho f \\
&+ 4 x_\nu x^\sigma x_\mu x^\rho \partial_\sigma \partial_\rho f + 4 x_\nu x^\sigma x^\rho \partial_\rho f \\
&\quad + 4 x_\nu x_\mu x^\rho \partial_\rho f \\
&- 2 x^\rho x^\sigma x^\mu \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma f - 2 x^\rho x^\sigma \partial_\rho f - 2 x^\rho x^\sigma x^\mu \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma f \\
&- 4 x_\nu x^\rho \partial_\rho f + - 2 x_\nu x^\rho x^\sigma \partial_\sigma \partial_\rho f \\
&+ 2 x^\rho x^\sigma \partial_\rho f + x^4 \partial_\rho \partial_\rho f = \underline{\underline{0}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[D, D]f &= -[x^\mu \partial_\mu, x^\nu \partial_\nu]f \\
&= -x^\mu \partial_\mu (x^\nu \partial_\nu f) + x^\nu \partial_\nu (x^\mu \partial_\mu f) \\
&= 0 \\
&= \underline{\underline{0}}
\end{aligned}$$

[5] Quadratic Casimir

$$C^{(2)} = L_{\mu\nu} L^{\mu\nu} + \alpha P_\mu K^\mu + \beta K_\mu P^\mu + \gamma D^2$$

for any ~~arbitrary~~ operator F in the conformal algebra, we require $[C^{(2)}, F] = 0$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = [L_{\mu\nu} L^{\mu\nu}, F] + \alpha [P_\mu K^\mu, F] + \beta [K_\mu P^\mu, F] + \gamma [D^2, F]$$

$$= [L_{\mu\nu}, F] L^{\mu\nu} + L_{\mu\nu} [L^{\mu\nu}, F] + \alpha [P_\mu, F] K^\mu + \alpha P_\mu [K^\mu, F] + \beta [K_\mu, F] P^\mu + \beta K_\mu [P^\mu, F]$$

$$+ \beta [K_\mu, F] P^\mu + \gamma D [D, F] + \gamma [D, F] D$$

$$\Rightarrow [C^{(2)}, D] = 0 \quad \text{gives}$$

$$0 = \underbrace{[L_{\mu\nu}, D]}_0 L^{\mu\nu} + L_{\mu\nu} \underbrace{[L^{\mu\nu}, D]}_0 + \alpha [P_\mu, D] K^\mu$$

$$+ \alpha P_\mu [K^\mu, D] + \beta K_\mu [P^\mu, D] + \beta [K_\mu, D] P^\mu$$

$$+ \gamma \underbrace{D [D, D]}_0 + \gamma \underbrace{[D, D]}_0 D$$

$$= \alpha (-i P_\mu) K^\mu + \alpha P_\mu (i K_\mu) + \beta K_\mu (-i P^\mu) + \beta (i K_\mu) P^\mu$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{always true.}$$

\Rightarrow doesn't fix anything.

$$\Rightarrow 0 = [C^{(2)}, K_p]$$

$$= [L_{\mu\nu}, K_p] L^{\mu\nu} + L_{\mu\nu} [L^{\mu\nu}, K_p] + \alpha [P_\mu, K_p] K^\mu + \alpha P_\mu [\underbrace{K^\mu, K_p}_{=0}] + \beta K_\mu [P^\mu, K_p] + \beta [\underbrace{K_\mu, K_p}_{=0}] P^\mu + \gamma D [D, K_p] + \gamma [D, K_p] D$$

$$= -i(\eta_{\mu\rho} K_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} K_\mu) L^{\mu\nu} + i L_{\mu\nu} (\eta^\mu_\rho K^\nu - \eta^\nu_\rho K^\mu)$$

$$+ \alpha (-2i)(\eta_{\mu\rho} D - L_{\rho\mu}) K^\mu$$

$$+ \beta K_\nu (-2i)(\eta^\mu_\rho D - L_{\rho\mu})$$

$$+ \gamma D (-i)(K_p) + \gamma (-i) K_p D$$

$$= -i \underline{K_\nu L_p^\nu} + i \underline{K_\mu L_p^\mu} - i \underline{L_{p\nu} K^\nu} + i \underline{L_{\mu\rho} K^\mu}$$

$$- 2\alpha i \underline{D K_p} + 2\alpha i \underline{L_{p\nu} K^\nu}$$

$$- 2\beta i \underline{K_p D} + 2\beta i \underline{K_\nu L_p^\nu}$$

$$+ \gamma i \underline{D K_p} + \gamma i \underline{K_p D}$$

$$= (-i) \underline{K_\nu L_p^\nu} + (-i) \underline{K_\mu L_p^\mu} + (-i) \underline{L_{p\nu} K^\nu} + (-i) \underline{L_{\mu\rho} K^\mu}$$

use $L_{\mu\rho} = -L_{\rho\mu}$

$$(-i)(2 - 2\alpha\beta) K^\mu L_{p\mu} + (-i)(2 - 2\alpha) L_{p\nu} K^\nu$$

$$+ (-i)(2\alpha + \gamma) D K_p + (-i)(2\beta + \gamma) K_p D$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = [C^{(1)}, P_\rho]$$

$$= [L_{\mu\nu}, P_\rho] L^{\mu\nu} + L_{\mu\nu} [L^{\mu\nu}, P_\rho] + \alpha [P_\mu, P_\rho] K^\mu \\ + \alpha P_\mu [K^\mu, P_\rho] + \beta K_\mu [P^\mu, P_\rho] + \beta [K_\mu, P_\rho] P^\mu \\ + \gamma D [D, P_\rho] + \gamma [D, P_\rho] D$$

$$= -i P_\nu L_\rho^\nu + i P_\nu L_\rho^\mu - i L_{\rho\nu} P^\nu + i L_{\mu\rho} P^\mu \\ + \alpha (2i) P_\mu (\eta_\rho^\mu D - L_\rho^\mu) + \beta (2i) (\eta_{\mu\rho} D - L_{\mu\rho}) P^\mu \\ + i\gamma D P_\rho + i\gamma P_\rho D$$

$$= (-i) (-2 - 2\alpha) P_\mu L_{\rho\mu} + (-i) (2 - 2\beta) L_{\rho\mu} P^\mu \\ + i(2\alpha + \gamma) P_\rho D + i(2\beta + \gamma) D P_\rho$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = [C^{(2)}, L_{\rho\sigma}]$$

$$= [L_{\mu\nu}, L_{\rho\sigma}] L^{\mu\nu} + L_{\mu\nu} [L^{\mu\nu}, L_{\rho\sigma}] \\ + \alpha [P_\mu, L_{\rho\sigma}] K^\mu + \alpha P_\mu [K^\mu, L_{\rho\sigma}] \\ + \beta K_\mu [P^\mu, L_{\rho\sigma}] + \beta [K_\mu, L_{\rho\sigma}] P^\mu \\ + \gamma D [D, L_{\rho\sigma}] + \gamma [D, L_{\rho\sigma}] D$$

$$= -i (L_{\mu\rho} \eta_{\nu\sigma} - L_{\mu\sigma} \eta_{\nu\rho} - L_{\nu\rho} \eta_{\mu\sigma} + L_{\nu\sigma} \eta_{\mu\rho}) L^{\mu\nu} \\ - i L^{\mu\nu} (L_{\mu\rho} \eta_{\nu\sigma} - L_{\mu\sigma} \eta_{\nu\rho} - L_{\nu\rho} \eta_{\mu\sigma} + L_{\nu\sigma} \eta_{\mu\rho}) \\ + \alpha i (\eta_{\rho\mu} P_\sigma - \eta_{\sigma\mu} P_\rho) K^\mu + \alpha i P_\mu (\eta_{\rho\mu} K_\sigma - \eta_{\sigma\mu} K_\rho) \\ + \beta i K_\mu (\eta_{\rho\mu} P_\sigma - \eta_{\sigma\mu} P_\rho) + \beta i (\eta_{\rho\mu} K_\sigma - \eta_{\sigma\mu} K_\rho) P^\mu$$

$$= -i [\cancel{L_{\rho\rho} L^{\mu\sigma}} - \cancel{L_{\mu\sigma} L^{\rho\rho}} - \cancel{L_{\nu\rho} L^{\sigma\nu}} + \cancel{L_{\nu\sigma} L^{\rho\rho}} + L^{\mu\sigma} L_{\rho\rho} - L_{\mu\rho} L^{\nu\sigma} - L_{\rho\nu} L^{\mu\sigma} + L_{\rho\sigma} L^{\nu\mu}]$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{always}$$

So overall ~~if~~ $[C^{(2)}, D] = [C^{(2)}, L_{\rho\sigma}] = 0$

always, . and $[C^{(2)}, P_{\mu}] = [C^{(2)}, K_{\mu}] = 0$

if $2 - 2\beta = 0$, $2 - 2\alpha = 0$, $2\alpha + \gamma = 0$, $2\beta + \gamma = 0$.

\Rightarrow this can be satisfied if

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha = \beta = 1, \gamma = -2}}$$

$$\text{So } \underline{\underline{C^{(2)} = L_{\mu\nu} L^{\mu\nu} + P_{\mu} K^{\mu} + K_{\mu} P^{\mu} - 2D^2}}$$

$$\boxed{6}. \quad [K_\mu, \phi_\alpha(x)] = K_\mu e^{-iPx} \phi_\alpha(0) e^{iPx} - e^{-iPx} \phi_\alpha(0) e^{iPx} K_\mu$$

$$= e^{-iPx} (e^{iPx} K_\mu e^{-iPx} \phi_\alpha(0) - \phi_\alpha(0) e^{iPx} K_\mu e^{-iPx}) e^{iPx}$$

$$= e^{-iPx} [\underbrace{e^{iPx} K_\mu e^{-iPx}}_{\equiv \hat{K}_\mu}, \phi_\alpha(0)] e^{iPx}$$

$$= e^{-iPx} [\hat{K}_\mu, \phi_\alpha(0)] e^{iPx}$$

$$\text{where } \hat{K}_\mu = e^{iPx} K_\mu e^{-iPx}$$

$$= (1 + i x P - \frac{(xP)^2}{2} + \dots) K_\mu (1 - i x P - \frac{(xP)^2}{2} + \dots)$$

$$\text{Use } e^{-A} B e^A = B + [B, A] + \frac{1}{2!} [[B, A], A] + \frac{1}{3!} [[[B, A], A], A] + \dots$$

$$\text{in this case } A = -i P_\rho x^\rho, \quad B = K_\mu$$

$$\therefore \hat{K}_\mu^\rho = K_\mu + [K_\mu, P_\nu] (-i x^\nu) + \frac{1}{2!} [[K_\mu, P_\nu], P_\rho] (-i x^\nu) (-i x^\rho) + 0 + \dots$$

$$= K_\mu + (-i x^\nu) (2i) (\eta_{\mu\nu} D - L_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} (-1) x^\nu x^\rho (2i) [\eta_{\mu\nu} D - L_{\mu\nu}, P_\rho]$$

$$= K_\mu + 2X_\mu D - 2X^\nu L_{\mu\nu}$$

$$+ -i X^\nu X^\rho (\underbrace{\eta_{\mu\nu}}_{iP_\rho} [D, P_\rho] - \underbrace{[L_{\mu\nu}, P_\rho]})$$

$$= K_\mu + 2X_\mu D - 2X^\nu L_{\mu\nu} - i(\eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P_\mu)$$

$$+ X_\mu X^\rho P_\rho + X^\nu X_\mu P_\nu - X_\rho X^\rho P_\mu$$

$$= \cancel{K_\mu + 2X_\mu D - 2X^\nu L_{\mu\nu}}$$

$$\rightarrow [K_\mu, \phi_\alpha(x)] = e^{-iP \cdot x} [\hat{K}_\mu, \phi_\alpha(0)] e^{iP \cdot x}$$

$$[\hat{K}_\mu, \phi_\alpha(0)] = [K_\mu, \phi_\alpha(0)] + 2X_\mu [D, \phi_\alpha(0)] - 2X^\nu [L_{\mu\nu}, \phi_\alpha(0)]$$

$$+ X_\mu X^\rho [P_\rho, \phi_\alpha(0)] + X^\nu X_\mu [P_\nu, \phi_\alpha(0)] - X^2 [P_\mu, \phi_\alpha(0)]$$

$$= 0 + 2iX_\mu \Delta \phi_\alpha(0) - 2iX^\nu (S_{\mu\nu})_\alpha^\beta \phi_\beta(0) \quad (S_{\mu\nu} = -S_{\nu\mu})$$

$$+ iX_\mu X^\rho \partial_\rho \phi_\alpha(0) + iX_\mu X^\nu \partial_\nu \phi_\alpha(0) - iX^2 \partial_\mu \phi_\alpha(0)$$

$$= 2iX_\mu \Delta \phi_\alpha(0) + i(2X_\mu X^\nu \partial_\nu - X^2 \partial_\mu) \phi_\alpha(0)$$

$$+ 2iX^\nu (S_{\nu\mu})_\alpha^\beta \phi_\beta(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow [K_\mu, \phi_\alpha(x)] = \cancel{[\hat{K}_\mu, \phi_\alpha(0)]} \text{ expansion of } [\hat{K}_\mu, \phi_\alpha(0)] \\ \text{with } \phi_\alpha(0) \text{ replaced by } \phi_\alpha(x)$$

$$= 2iX_\mu \Delta \phi_\alpha(x) + i(2X_\mu X^\nu \partial_\nu - X^2 \partial_\mu) \phi_\alpha(x) + 2iX^\nu (S_{\nu\mu})_\alpha^\beta \phi_\beta(x)$$

Note that $\because [([K, P], P)]$ is a expansion ~~at~~ only involving P and $[P_u, P_v] = 0$

$\therefore \underline{[([K, P], P), P]}$ is indeed 0